

(666.6/10) FEET; AND FOR A NORTH BOUNDARY (RUNNING ALONG CORSERS SOUTH BOUNDARY) TWELVE HUNDRED AND NINTY-FIVE (1295) FEET DUE WEST, TO THE FORTY LINE; AND FOR A WEST BOUNDARY, RUNNING DUE SOUTH ALONG THE FORTY LINE, SIX HUNDRED AND SIXTY SIX/TENTHS (666.6/10) FEET; TO SOUTH LINE OF FORTY, AND FOR A SOUTH BOUNDARY RUNNING DUE EAST ALONG SOUTH LINE OF FORTY TO THE CENTER OF THE CHANNEL OF DUNCAN CREEK, THEN FOLLOWING THE CHANNEL A LITTLE SOUTH, TO SOUTH BORDER OF COUNTY ROAD AND THENCE SEVENTY-FIVE (75) FT SOUTH OF SOUTH BORDER OF COUNTY ROAD ALONG, THE CENTER OF DUNCAN CREEK AND FROM THIS POINT, RUNNING EASTWARD KEEPING SEVENTY-FIVE (75) FEET SOUTH AND PARALLEL OF SOUTH BORDER OF COUNTY ROAD TO SECTION LINE, AND FOR THE BALANCE OF EAST BOUNDARY RUNNING SEVENTY-FIVE (75) FT DUE NORTH TO SECTION CORNER AND FOR THE REMAINDER OF NORTH BOUNDARY RUNNING DUE WEST TWENTY-FIVE (25) FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, WHICH IS TWELVE HUNDRED AND NINTY-FIVE (1295) FEET FROM THE SOUTH WSET CORNER OF FORTY MAKING ABOUT TWENTY-THREE ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

WITNESS, THE HANDS OF SAID GRANTORS, THIS TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY OF JANUARY A.D. ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-TWO (1922)

SIGNED IN PRESENCE OF
RUBY MAXWELL

CHARLES D. KICHER
MAUD B. KICHER

STATE OF UTAH, }
COUNTY OF DUCHESNE. } ss.

ON THE 28TH DAY OF JANUARY 1922 A.D. ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-TWO PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME CHARLES D. KICHER AND MAUD B. KICHER HUSBAND & WIFE THE SIGNERS OF THE ABOVE INSTRUMENT WHO, DULY ACKNOWLEDGED TO ME THAT HE EXECUTED THE SAME.

(NOTARIAL)
(SEAL)

E. R. WINSTROM
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 13, 1923
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 3/13/23

50 DOCUMENTARY STAMPS ATTACHED AND DULY CANCELLED.
FILED FOR RECORD FEBRUARY 13, 1924, AT 10 A.M. BY F. M. PHELPS

Wm G. Mitchell
COUNTY AUDITOR
BY *Reddy P. Mitchell* DEPUTY

R. A. MILLER et ux TO C. R. ZENER

IN THE JUSTICE COURT
BEFORE A. E. NEAL, JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
UNDERWOOD PRECINCT, SKAMANIA COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

C. R. ZENER, }
PLAINTIFF. }
VS }
R. A. MILLER AND RUTH }
MILLER, HIS WIFE, }
DEFENDANTS. }

JUDGMENT.

IN THIS ACTION THE DEFENDANTS, R. A. MILLER AND RUTH MILLER, HIS WIFE, HAVING BEEN SERVED WITH PROCESS, APPEARING TO ANSWER THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

754