

1.

ADMITTS THE ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2, 3, AND 4 OF SAID COMPLAINT.

2.

DENIES THE ALLEGATIONS CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPHS 5, 6, 7, AND 8 OF SAID COMPLAINT.

WHEREFORE, DEFENDANT PRAYS THAT PLAINTIFF TAKE NOTHING UNDER SAID COMPLAINT; THAT THE COURT DETERMINE THE RIGHTS OF THE DEFENDANT IN AND TO THE REAL ESTATE DESCRIBED IN SAID COMPLAINT, AND DETERMINE THE RIGHT OF PLAINTIFF TO THE SOLE CARE AND CUSTODY OF SAID TWO MINOR CHILDREN, AND FOR SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS TO THE COURT MAY APPEAR JUST IN THE PREMISES.

D. G. ABEL, ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT.

VERIFIED BY D. G. ABEL, BEFORE A NOTARY PUBLIC FOR WASHINGTON ON FEBRUARY 6, 1920.

ORDER DIRECTING JUDGMENT.

FILED FEB. 7, 1920

IT APPEARING TO THE COURT FROM THE AFFIDAVIT OF L. E. BLACK, THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS SUIT, THAT HE IS UNABLE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE DEFENDANT SUSIE AMELIA BLACK IS IN THE MILITARY OR NAVAL SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES AT THIS TIME, AND

IT FURTHER APPEARING THAT THE RESIDENCE OF SAID DEFENDANT IS UNKNOWN TO THE PLAINTIFF, AND

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT SAID DEFENDANT HAS BEEN DULY, REGULARLY AND LEGALLY SERVED WITH SUMMONS IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED SUIT; THAT THE TIME FOR APPEARANCE OF SAID DEFENDANT HAS LONG SINCE ELAPSED AND THAT SAID DEFENDANT HAS NOT APPEARED, NOR HAS ANY APPEARANCE BEEN MADE FOR HER OR UPON HER ACCOUNT; THAT SAID DEFENDANT IS IN DEFAULT AND THAT AN ORDER OF DEFAULT HAS BEEN DULY ENTERED HEREIN, AND

IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT ALL THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN HEREIN ARE REGULAR AND THAT THE PLAINTIFF HEREIN IS ENTITLED TO JUDGMENT,

NOW ON THE MOTION OF THE PLAINTIFF HEREIN FOR AN ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF THE RIGHT TO HAVE A JUDGMENT ENTERED IN HIS BEHALF, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED HEREIN, AGAINST THE DEFENDANT AND IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFF UPON THE CAUSE OF ACTION SET FORTH HEREIN, UPON THE PRODUCTION OF SUFFICIENT PROOF IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT.

DONE IN OPEN COURT THIS 7TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 1920.

W. A. REYNOLDS, JUDGE

D E C R E E

FILED FEB. 7, 1920

THIS CAUSE COMING ON REGULARLY TO BE HEARD ON THIS 7TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 1920 UPON THE APPLICATION OF PLAINTIFF FOR A DECREE OF DIVORCE HEREIN, AND THE COURT HAVING HERETOFORE MADE ITS FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND IT APPEARING:

THAT THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OF THE PARTIES HERETO AND OF THE SUBJECT MATTER HEREIN.

THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAS, FOR MORE THAN ONE YEAR LAST PAST, BEEN A RESIDENT