97841 NOTICE and SERVICE of a COMM

AUTHORITIES Black's Law Dictionsry COMMON-LAW LIEN: One known to or granted by the common law, as distinguished from statutory, equitable, and maritime liens; also one arising by implication of law, as distinguished from one created by the agreement of parties. The Menominie, D.C. Minn., 36 F 197: Tobacco Warehouse Co. v. Trustee, 117 Ky. 478, 78 S.W. 413, 64 L.R.A. 219. It is a right extended to a person to retain that which is in his possession belonging to another until the demand or charge of the person in possession. is paid or satisfied. Whiteside v Rocky Mountain Fuel Co., C.C.A. Colo., 101 F. 2d 765, 769; Goldwater v Mendelson, 8 N.Y.S. 627, 629, 170 Misc. 422.

STATE of UTC


WILL MEYS

Demandant(s),

CHARLES & IRENS KEYS

Respondant(s)

NOTICE and DEMAND



NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by filing of a Common-Law lien to enable the Demandant to secure money damages and exercise his Civil and Constitutional Right. The property described below is hereby subject to prosecution to satisfy judgement(s). The neglect, refusal or failure of the Respondant to demand the Sheriff to convene a Common Law Court within ninety (90) days from the date of the filing of this instrument will be deemed to be "prima-facie" evidence of an admission of "waiver" to all his (their) rights to the property described below. Puolic employees that attempt to modify, circumvent or negate this lien shall be deemed common law outlaws and felons or may be prosecuted (Title 42 USC Sec. 1986).

Nobile Mome Trailer rial 8 X 89977 TRLR 36070 20 foot) 3 # CND 1492213345 4.1037402312033

MEMORANDUM of LAW Common-Law Liens at law supercede mort-ages and equity liens, Drummond Carriage Co. v Mills (1878) 71N.W. 99; Hewitt v Will ams, 47 La. Ann. 742, 17 So. 269; Carr v Dail, 19 S.E. 235; McMahan v Ludin; 58 N.W. 827, and may be satisfied only when a Court of Common Law [twelve (12) good men and true] is called to convene pursuant to order of the elected Sheriff under Amendmen 7 Bill of Rights. Such Common-Law Court forbids the presence, participation or presiding of any judge or lawyer, or practice of equity law. The ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court in Rich v Braxton, 158 US375 specifically forbids judges from invoking equity jurisdiction to remove common-law liens or similar "Clouds on Title". Further, even if a perpondrance of evidence displays the lien to be void or voidable, the equity court still may not proceed until the moving party has proven that he ask for and comes "to equity" with "clean hands". Trice v Comstock, 57 C.C.A. 646; West v Washington, App. Div. 460, 138 NY Supp, 230. Any Offical who attempts to modify or remove this common law lien is fully liable for damages pursuant to the mandatory rulings of the U.S. Supreme Court in Butz v Economu, 478 US 478,98 S.Ct. 2894; Bell v Hood, 327 US 678; Belknap v Schild, 161 US 10; U.S. v Lee, 106 US 196; Bivens v 6 Unknown Agents, 400 US 388; Halperin v Nixon, 1979___ _U.S.

This NOTICE of COMMON—LAW LIEN shall be valid not withstanding any other provision of statute or rule regarding the form or content of a "notice of lien", nor shall it be dischargable for 100 years, nor shall it be extinguishable due to my death whether accidently or purposely, nor dischargably by my heirs, assign, or executors, except by a Common Law Court.

Demandant(s) claims from the Respondant(s) the sum of \$\frac{20,000}{(Payable in monies of account of The United States)}\$	Sign and Sealed;	193170 (63.)
Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, for and in the State of Lashinton, County of Jeanania,		1011 3 1 55 mg
on this 300 day of 1904. My Commission Expires 9/1/85	ary Public	ann Pour
294		