BOOK 194 PAGE 602

FILED FOR A COND SKALL ASH BY Thomas Frank

RETURN ADDRESS:

. TOWAS FEMEL

NOV 1 150 PH 999

NOV 1 150 PH 999

OCTUPE INFORMATION

OF Type Information

OF Type Information

OF Type Information

1.	itie(s) or transa	ة خواسا	Ima a ha	Pa.		
2.	OF T	Sork	TSEW.	Tee T	ment.	
3		THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	SACK CONT	ولسورات والمتالة
4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3			
RANTOR(S) (Last name, f	irst, then f	irst name and t	nifilale)		ويعنيدونك
	LHOMAS	10.1		uttrais)		Berthall
	H350303	<u> </u>	13514512		(h.,	
						
<u> </u>					1 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	O'.
J Additiona	l Names on Page	0	of Document		·	
Rantee(5	(Last name, fi	rst, then fir	est name and in	[##]e)		<u> </u>
سجاني وا	THE	TAID		, materia /		16-11
n e	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					
-		1				
-	المراجع المراجع			Yes part to be the same of the same		/بريو پيسانت
Additional	Names on Page	p	of Decument			
GAL DESC	RIPTION (AL	Assessed at 1	Dominione,		TA TO	, o
Har half for	AMA ALON (AU	orevisted; 1.	e., Lot, Block, Pla	t or Section Tow	hip, Range, Quarter	(Quarter)
_>		ZAD	look One	Woode	2-112	
Portion	u of Lot	200			X INVIPINA	PRIFIT
Biction .	n of Lot	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		The state of	The state of the s	F-21677
tostio	n of Lot	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s			F2.161.71
Toggiete	cgal on Page	Shire distance of the same of	of Document.			esieru
Complete	n of Lot	Shire distance of the same of	of Document.		\$up-care	
Complete	cgal on Page	Shire distance of the same of	of Document.		Sept community of the c	
1651(1) Complete FERENCE	ec of Lot legal on Page	Of Docume	of Document, lat assigned or r	oleased:	\$up-care	
Complete S	cegal on Page NUMBER(S) (Of Docume	of Document,	eleased:	Bupsang ladusang naturang	
1651tin	cegal on Page NUMBER(S) (Of Docume	of Document,	eleased:	Sept community of the c	
Additional	Control of	Of Docume	of Document, Lit assigned or a of Document	cleased:	Purchasi Indiana Carper	(B.) Property (Co.)
Complete I FERENCE Additional SESSOR'S I Property Ta	cegal on Page NUMBER(S) (Of Docume	of Document. of Documen of Documen	olcased:	Bupsang ladusang naturang	(B.) Property (Co.)

SHAMATA LINEWING OWNERS ASSOC., INC PS Box 761 Stourason, WA. 96643

Recipal99

A10 9/23/9

Frink, Thomas & Judy 442 Skamania Landing Rd Stevenson, WA 98648

> BILLING DATE: 6/1/09 ACCOUNT (C: BL Y CT 3)

ACCOUNT ACTIVITY	e were to	Windless.
DATE	CHARGES	PAYMENTS
6/1/39 Final Assessment of \$200,000	\$3,226,00	\$1,000.00 h
	12/1/2017	
		T. LINE
THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	Parting to resemble the strong of the control	
THE		
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		1
	Harris Ha	
the construction confirm in the property of the construction of th		ſ
	Totals: \$3,225.00 Please pay this amount:	\$1,000.00

Thomas D. Frink

442 Skamania Landing Road Skamania, WA 98648-6138

September 29, 1990

Dr. Lou Jansky, President SLOA P.O. Box 791 Stevenson, WA 98648

Dear Lou.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$2,225 for our membership's full and final payment or the SLOA Dock / Dam Project.

This check is being sent to you under duress and fear they if we do not pay this amount to the SLOA Board of Trustees, the SLOA Board of Trustees will proceed with their threat to place a lien on our property and initiate foreclosure proceeding.

On July 3, 1999, in response to the lavitation of the SLOA Board of Trustees. Tom wrote you a letter stating that he had an individual problem with payment of the \$2,225 invoice which we had received from the SLOA.

On August 30, 1999 we received a letter from you (dated August 2, 1999) inferring that for some an-stated ceason, you thought that we were "unable" to pay the assessment. This, of course was not what we meant to infer in Tem's letter of July 25. On that same day, Tom sent you a should get together and discuss it.

Unfortunately, you chose Ignore Tom's request end at the special membership meeting held on September 25, 1999 reiterated the SLOA Beard of Trustees' intent to ken and foreclost on the property of inembers who had not paid their assessment invoice.

While we had hoped that you and Tom or ald have discussed our problems with paying the \$2,225 levoice, we cannot further withstans; this harassment by the members of the SLOA Board of Trustees and enclose our check for \$2,225.

This payment is made with the stipulation that the SLOA Board of Trustles individually accept the responsibility for the accuracy and legality of demanding this payment and no misrepresentation has been made by the SLOA Estard of Trustees to the membership.

We are sorry that you could not see your way clear to discuss the nature of our individual problem paying this invoice. If you are at all interested, a few, but not all, of our issues of concern related to the SLOA Dock / Dam Project are outlined below:

- Meetings were held without proper advance notification to the membership
- Votes for assessments were effected at meetings without notifying, by agenda, the entire membership the exact wording of the motions being voted upon.
- An inordinate amount of money was spent and continues to be spent on "Engineering Studies" in spite of the fact that all of the necessary permits are not yet secured.
 - As documented in Tom's letter to you dated June 3, 1999; At the May 5, 1996 Annual Membership Meeting, there was a maximum Dock / Dam Project expenditure established at \$200,000. In that the membership has already underwritten assessments of approximately \$12,400 (\$200 per member assessment), \$62,000 (\$1,000 per member assessment) and \$40,000 (\$BA loan) for a total of \$114,400, there is, at invoiced by the GLOA Board of Trustees.
- Considering the magnitude of the grants which the SLOA Board of Trustees anticipates on this project which, in themselves, exceed the \$200,000 spending cap (reaffirmed by Mr. Dennis es a "maximum association expenditure"), it is unclear as to why the SLOA Board of Trustees has chosen to purgue the membership for additional mone.
- Considering the money already spent on the Dock / Dam Project and the \$450,000 estimate to completion, there is no doubt that the project expenditures will exceeded the \$200,000 maximum expenditure amount and it should be referred back to the membership for review and approval to proceed before any more SLOA or grant funds are expended.
- Regardless of the source of the funds, we believe that the expenditure of over \$500,000 to effect repairs to the Duncan Creek Dars is
 excessive and represents poor fiscal management by the SLOA Board of Trustees.

As stated, the above points are but a few of our "radividual problems" with payment of the \$2,225 invoice

BOOK 194 PAGE 605

We are now teld that all but one permit (lie Corps of Engineers) have been received and this permit is imminent. We are now told that none of the grants include any stipulations which might have future impact on the privacy of Skamania Landing or will have any future financial impact on the members of the SLOA. Likeyise, we are led to believe that this is the last tim: that the SLOA Board of Trustees will seek financing from the membership of the SLOA for the Dock / Dam Project. In fact, we are led to believe that at the end of disproject, there may be a surplus of funds which will be returned, pro-rate, to the members.

We hope you will understand our skeptick in related to any "assurances" we the members of the SLOA receive from the Hoard of Trustees. After all, we were told that the Dock / Dam Project would only cost \$100,000 (\$27,000 for the Dock and \$75,000 for the Dam to be exact). We were told that the Board of Trustees had no knowledge of the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife coverants on any further repairs of the dam. We were told that the December 10, 1995 meeting "was a legal meeting". We were told that "all permits had been secured". And, we were told numerous times that "we see going out for bids (and need your money NOW)."

We implore you as President of the SLOA Board of Trustees to minimize future expenditures until all permits are secured and openly communicate with the membership all facts surrounding the Dock / Dam Project. In that the SLOA Board of Trustees has already expended a considerable amount of money on "Engineering Studies", we are expecting that very little additional expenditures are necessary until we have secured all necessary permits and grants.

If it should appear at any time that the Dock / Dam Project cannot be completed within the constraints as documented to the members of the SLOA, the project should be stopped and the SLOA Board of Trustees should provide a full disclosure of the nature of the problem to the membership before any more money is expended. We should follow the recent actions related to the Skantania County Criminal Justice Facility Project. It must disclosure of the project so as to protect the rink, shoy funding in the project so as to protect the

A specific example where project expeciditures should be stopped might be if the anticipated grants do not come through as expected or a realization that additional member assessments might be necessary.

We are accepting the statement made by the SLOA Board of Trusters at the July 18, 1999 Special Membership Meeting that "... unused portions (of the Dock / Dam Project Fund) would be refunded (to the members of the SLOA)." This implies that, in the opinion of the SLOA Board of Trusters, with the current membership assessments and grants, the funding is more than adequate to cover projected expenditures on the Dock / Dam Project.

The enclosed check is presented to you with the understanding that it brings current our account with the SLOA and this \$2,225 is the final payment on the Dock / Dam Project. Likewise, in that this payment was withfield awaiting contact from you to discuss our individual problem with payment of the \$2,225 invoice and recognizing that to were not contacted, any "late charges" which may have accrued will be canceled. If this is not the case, please teturn the check and contact us personally.

Sincerely,

Thomas D. Frink

Judy G. Frink

JUDY G FRINK
THOMAS D FRINK
442 SKAMANIA LANDING RD
SKAMANIA LANDING RD
SKAMANIA WA BB648

BOY TO THE
ORDER OF SCAMANIA WA BB648

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BAILK
WESTER MATERIAL DATE
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BAILK
WESTER MATERIAL DATE
HISTORIAL MATERIAL
HISTORIAL MATERIAL
HISTORIAL MATERIAL
HISTORIAL MATERIAL
HISTORIAL MATERIAL
HISTORIAL
H

Ä

Skamania Landing Owner's Association, Inc.

October 7, 1999

DRAFT LETTER FOR MR FRINK

Dear Mr. Frink;

Receipt of your letter of September 29, 1999 is acknowledged in addition to your check in the amount of \$2225.00. For the rescore stated below, we are returning your check with this letter, and we ask that you strends your check v. shout conditions or restrictions attached.

Our attorney has advised us that a check tendered with conditions attached, either on the face of the check or by separate letter, it subject to UCW 62A.3-311. He has further advised us that if we accept you check as submitted, we may later be deemed to have accepted some or all of the terms contained in your letter of September 29, 1999. Put simply, we are not willing to accept payment submitted under those conditions.

We acknowledge that you are paying your assessment under protest and accept that fact. We also acknowledge that you object to the proposed Dem project for a variety of reasons as explained in your to accept your conclusions and stipulations as proposed.

We urge you to resubmit your check without conditions attached. If you do so within 10 days of the date of this letter, we agree to waive any interest that may otherwise be due as a result of delayed payment. If you fail to pay in a timely manner, we will seek available remedies as allowed under Washington law.

Sincerely;

Skamania Landing Owners Association

by Dr. Louis a paraly meridant

JUDY G FRINK
THOMAS D FRINK
HE SKALANA LANDING PD
S

FOOR 194 PAGE 607

Thomas D. Frink

442 Skamenia Landing Road Skamenia, WA 98648-6138

October 9, 1999

Dr. Lou Jansky, President SLOA P.O. Box 791 Stevenson, WA 98648

Dear Lou,

It is unfortunate that you could not explain to Tom this as emoon, the "terms" contained in our letter of September. 29, 1999 which, according to your October 7, 1999 "DRAFT LETTER FOR MR. FRINK", the SLOA Board of Trustees was unwilling to accept.

Without knowing what points in our letter that the SLOA Board of Trustees is unwilling or unable to agree to, we, of sourse, cannot restate them in a form more acceptable to the board.

If you would please identify the specific "conditions and stipulations" which the SLOA Board of Trustees is unwilling to accept, we would be more than happy to consider deleting them or rewording them into a format more acceptable to the board.

We are eagerly looking forward to your clarification of those issues in our letter to which the SLOA Board of (trustees is unwilling or unable to agree to so that we might be able to get this chapter of our life closed and behind us.

Sincerely,

Thomas D. Frink

Judy G. Frink