

RECORDING REQUESTED BY  
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

James Lonnie, Garrison  
c/o General Delivery  
Carson, Washington [98610]  
Non-Domestic, Zip Exempt

FILED FOR RECORD  
SKAMANIA CO. WASH  
BY *Jim Garrison*

APR 1 10 25 AM '96

*Olson*  
AUDITOR  
GARY M. OLSON

RECORDER'S USE

124916

BOOK 156 PAGE 286

**DECLARATION OF ASSIGNEE'S UPDATE OF PATENT**  
**PATENT NUMBER 4788**

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: THAT James Lonnie, Garrison AND Norma Lee, Garrison DO SEVERALLY CERTIFY AND DECLARE THAT WE BRING UP THIS LAND PATENT IN OUR NAMES:

- 1) THE CHARACTER OF SAID PROPERTY SO SOUGHT TO BE PATENTED, AND LEGALLY DESCRIBED AND REFERENCED UNDER PATENT NUMBER LISTED ABOVE IS: The North half of the South East quarter of the South East quarter of the North West quarter of section twenty-six in Township four North of Range seven East of Willamette Meridian, Domiciled in Washington, Skamania county.
- 2) NOTICE OF PREEMPTIVE RIGHT, PURSUANT TO THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE (1776), THE TREATY OF PEACE WITH GREAT BRITAIN (8 STAT. 80) KNOWN AS THE TREATY OF PARIS (1793, AN ACT OF CONGRESS (3 STAT. 566, APRIL 24, 1820)), THE OREGON TREATY (19 STAT. 869, JUNE 15, 1846), THE HOMESTEAD ACT (12 STAT. 392, 1862) AND 43 USC SECTIONS 57, 59, AND 83; THE RECIPIENT HEREOF IS MANDATED BY ART. VI SECTIONS 1, 2, AND 3; ART. IV SECTIONS 1 CL. 1, & 2; SECTION 2 CL. 1 & 2, SECTION 4, THE 4TH, 7TH, 9TH, AND 10TH AMENDMENTS (U.S. CONSTITUTION, 1781 - 91) TO ACKNOWLEDGE ASSIGNEE'S UPDATE OF PATENT PROSECUTED BY AUTHORITY OF ART. III SECTION 2 CL. 1 & 2 AND ENFORCED BY ORIGINAL/EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION THEREUNDER AND IT IS THE ONLY WAY A PERFECT TITLE CAN BE HAD IN OUR NAMES, WILCOX vs. JACKSON, 13 PET. (U.S.) 498, 10 L. ED. 264; ALL QUESTIONS OF FACT DECIDED BY THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE ARE BINDING EVERYWHERE, AND INJUNCTIONS AND MANDAMUS PROCEEDINGS WILL NOT LIE AGAINST IT, LITCHFIELD vs. THE REGISTER, 9 WALL. (U.S.) 575, 19 L. ED. 681. THIS DOCUMENT IS INSTRUCTED TO BE ATTACHED TO ALL DEEDS AND/OR CONVEYANCES IN THE NAMES OF THE ABOVE PARTIES AS REQUIRING RECORDING OF THIS DOCUMENT, IN A MANNER KNOWN AS NUNC PRO TUNC (AS IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE IN THE BEGINNING), BY ORDER OF UNITED STATES SUPREME LAW MANDATE AS ENDORSED BY CASE HISTORY CITED.
- 3) NOTICE AND EFFECT OF A LAND PATENT. A GRANT OF LAND IS A PUBLIC LAW STANDING ON THE STATUTE BOOKS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, AND IS NOTICE TO EVERY SUBSEQUENT PURCHASER UNDER ANY CONFLICTING SALE MADE AFTERWARD; WINEMAN vs. GASTRELL, 54 FED 819, 4 CC2 596 2 US APP 581. A PATENT ALONE PASSES TITLE TO THE GRANTEE; WILCOX vs. JACKSON, 13 PET (U.S.) 498, 10 L. ED. 264. WHEN THE UNITED STATES HAS PARTED WITH TITLE BY A PATENT LEGALLY ISSUED, AND UPON SURVEYS LEGALLY MADE BY ITSELF AND APPROVED BY THE PROPER DEPARTMENT, THE TITLE SO GRANTED CANNOT BE IMPAIRED BY ANY SUBSEQUENT SURVEY MADE BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR ITS OWN PURPOSES; CAGE vs DANKS, 13, LA.ANN. 128. IN THE CASE OF EJECTMENT WHERE THE QUESTION IS WHO HAS THE LEGAL TITLE, THE PATENT OF THE GOVERNMENT IS

Registered ☒  
Indexed, Dir ☒  
Indirect ☒  
Filed ☐  
Mailed ☐

84

UNASSAILABLE, SANFORD vs SANFORD, 139 US 642. THE TRANSFER OF LEGAL TITLE (PATENT) TO PUBLIC DOMAIN GIVES THE TRANSFEREE THE RIGHT TO POSSESS AND ENJOY THE LAND TRANSFERRED, GIBSON vs. CHOUTEAU, 80 US 92. A PATENT FOR LAND IS THE HIGHEST EVIDENCE OF TITLE AND IS CONCLUSIVE AS EVIDENCE AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT AND ALL CLAIMING UNDER JUNIOR PATENTS OR TITLES, UNITED STATES vs. STONE, 2 US 525. ESTOPPEL HAS BEEN MAINTAINED AS AGAINST A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION (COUNTY), BEADLE vs. SMYSER, 209 US 393. UNTIL IT ISSUES, THE FEE IS IN THE GOVERNMENT, WHICH BY THE PATENT PASSES TO THE GRANTEE, AND HE IS ENTITLED TO ENFORCE POSSESSION IN EJECTMENT, BAGNELL vs. BRODERICK, 13 PETER (US) 436. STATE STATUTES THAT GIVE LESSER AUTHORITATIVE OWNERSHIP OF TITLE THAN THE PATENT CAN NOT EVEN BE BROUGHT INTO FEDERAL COURT, LANGDON vs. SHERWOOD, 124 U.S. 74, 80. THE POWER OF CONGRESS TO DISPOSE OF ITS LAND CANNOT BE INTERFERED WITH, OR ITS EXERCISE EMBARRASSED BY ANY STATE LEGISLATION; NOR CAN SUCH LEGISLATION DEPRIVE THE GRANTEES OF THE UNITED STATES OF THE POSSESSION AND ENJOYMENT OF THE PROPERTY GRANTED BY REASON OF ANY DELAY IN THE TRANSFER OF THE TITLE AFTER THE INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS FOR ITS ACQUISITION. (GIBSON vs. CHOUTEAU, 13 WAL. (U.S.) 92, 93).

- 4) LAND TITLE AND TRANSFER: THE EXISTING SYSTEM OF LAND TRANSFER IS A LONG AND TEDIOUS PROCESS INVOLVING THE OBSERVANCE OF MANY FORMALITIES AND TECHNICALITIES, A FAILURE TO OBSERVE ANY ONE OF WHICH MAY DEFEAT THE TITLE. EVEN WHERE THESE HAVE BEEN MOST CAREFULLY COMPLIED WITH, AND WHERE THE TITLE HAS BEEN TRACED TO ITS SOURCE, THE PURCHASER MUST BE AT HIS PERIL, THERE ALWAYS BEING, IN SPITE OF THE UTMOST CARE AND EXPENDITURE, THE POSSIBILITY THAT HIS TITLE MAY TURN OUT BAD. YEAKLE, TORRENCE SYSTEM. 209. PATENTS ARE ISSUED (AND THEORETICALLY PASSED) BETWEEN SOVEREIGNS (LEADING FIGHTER vs COUNTY OF GREGORY, 230 N.W.2d 114, 116). THE PATENT IS PRIMA FACIE CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE OF TITLE, MARSH vs BROOKS, 49 U.S. 223, 233. AN ESTATE IN INHERITANCE WITHOUT CONDITION, BELONGING TO THE OWNER AND ALIENABLE BY HIM, TRANSMISSIBLE TO HIS HEIRS ABSOLUTELY AND SIMPLY, IS AN ABSOLUTE ESTATE IN PERPETUITY AND THE LARGEST POSSIBLE ESTATE A MAN CAN HAVE, BEING IN FACT ALLODIAL IN ITS NATURE, STANTON vs SULLIVAN, 63 R.I. 216 7 A. 696. THE ORIGINAL MEANING OF A PERPETUITY IS AN INALIENABLE, INDESTRUCTIBLE INTEREST. BOUVIER'S LAW DICTIONARY, VOLUME III P. 2570, (1914). IF THIS LAND PATENT IS NOT CHALLENGED, AS STATED ABOVE, WITHIN 60 DAYS IT THEN BECOMES OUR PROPERTY, AS NO ONE ELSE HAS FOLLOWED THE PROPER STEPS TO GET LEGAL TITLE, THE FINAL CERTIFICATE OR RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGING THE PAYMENT IN FULL BY A HOMESTEADER OR PREEMPTOR IS NOT LEGAL EFFECT A CONVEYANCE OF LAND. U.S. vs STEENERSON, 50 FED 504, 1 CCA 552, 4 U.S. APP. 332. A LAND PATENT IS A CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE THAT THE PATENT HAS COMPLIED WITH THE ACT OF CONGRESS AS CONCERNS IMPROVEMENTS ON THE LAND, ETC., JANKINS vs GIBSON, 3 LA ANN 203.
- 5) LAW ON RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND IMMUNITIES: TRANSFER BY PATENTEE... "TITLE AND RIGHTS OF BONA FIDE PURCHASER FROM PATENTEE... WILL BE PROTECTED". UNITED STATES vs DEBELL, 227 F 760 (C3 SD 1915), UNITED STATES vs. BEAMON, 242 F 876, (CAB COLO. 1917); STATE vs HEWITT LAND CO., 74 WASH. 573, 134 P 474, FROM 43 USC & 15 n 44. AS AN ASSIGNEE, WHETHER HE BE THE FIRST, SECOND OR THIRD PARTY TO WHOM TITLE IS CONVEYED SHALL LOSE NONE OF THE ORIGINAL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGE, OR IMMUNITIES OF THE ORIGINAL GRANTEE OF LAND PATENT. "NO STATE SHALL IMPAIR THE OBLIGATIONS OF CONTRACTS". UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE I SECTION 10.
- 6) EQUAL RIGHTS: PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE FURTHER PROTECTED UNDER THE 14TH AMENDMENT TO THE U. S. CONSTITUTION, "NO STATE... SHALL DENY TO ANY PERSON WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS".

IN CASES OF EJECTMENT, WHERE THE QUESTION IS WHO HAS THE LEGAL TITLE, THE PATENT OF THE GOVERNMENT IS UNASSAILABLE, SANFORD vs. SANFORD, 139 U.S. 642, 35 L. ED. 290. IN FEDERAL COURTS, THE PATENT IS HELD TO BE THE FOUNDATION OF TITLE AT LAW. FENN vs. HOLMES, 21 HOWARD 481.

IMMUNITY FROM COLLATERAL ATTACK: COLLINS vs. BARTLETT, 44 CAL 371; WEBER vs. PERK MARQUETTE BOOM CO., 62 MICH 626, 30 N.W. 469; SURGET vs. DOE, 24 MISS 118; PITTSBURY vs. VANINA, 71 MONT. 44, 227 PAC 45; GREEN vs. BARKER 47 NEB 934 66 NW 1032.

- 7) DISCLAIMER: ASSIGNEE'S SEIZEN IN DEED, AND LAWFUL ENTRY IS INCLUSIVE OF SPECIFICALLY THAT CERTAIN LEGALLY DESCRIBED PORTION OF THE ORIGINAL LAND GRANT OR PATENT NO. 4788 AND NOT THE WHOLE THEREOF, INCLUDING HEREDITAMENT, TENEMENTS, PREEMPTION RIGHTS APPURTENANT THERETO. THE RECORDING OF THIS INSTRUMENT SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DENY OR INFRINGE UPON ANY OTHERS RIGHT TO CLAIM THE REMAINING PORTION THEREOF. ANY CHALLENGES TO THE VALIDITY OF THIS DECLARATION & NOTICE ARE SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS REFERENCED HEREIN.

ADDITIONALLY, A COMMON COURTESY OF SIXTY (60) DAYS IS STIPULATED FOR ANY CHALLENGES HERETO, OTHERWISE, LACHES/ESTOPPEL SHALL FOREVER BAR THE SAME AGAINST ALLODIAL FREEHOLD ESTATE; ASSESSMENT LIEN THEORY TO THE CONTRARY.

- 8) WE EACH ARE SOVEREIGN "Citizens" of these "United States".

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE ATTACHED TO THIS DECLARATION: CERTIFIED COPY OF ORIGINAL LAND PATENT, DECLARATION OF HOMESTEAD, APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW, LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PORTION OF SAID GRANT OR PATENT, AND CERTIFICATE OF WITHDRAWAL OF TITLE TO LAND FROM REGISTRY.

James Lonnie Garrison  
James Lonnie, Garrison - Assignee

Norma Lee Garrison  
Norma Lee, Garrison - Assignee

STATE OF WASHINGTON )  
COUNTY OF SKAMANIA ) SS

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME, James Lonnie, Garrison AND Norma Lee, Garrison, THIS March DAY OF March, the year of our Lord nineteen hundred ninety-six.



When Received, Return To:  
James Lonnie, Garrison  
c/o General Delivery  
Carson, Washington 98610  
Non-Domestic, Zip Exempt

Carley Rosebush  
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF WASHINGTON  
My Commission Expires 8-20-96