112461 BOOK 126 PAGE 170

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE

LET ALL TAKE NOTICE THAT THE FOLLOWING PERSONS WILL BE SUED FOR TORT - TRESSPASS OF RIGHTS, IF AND WHEN FORECLOSURE IS EFFECTED ON THE FOLLOWING PIECE OF PROPERTY:

THE WEST 1/2, SW 1/4, NE 1/4, SEC 25, 2N, 5E, WM.;

Ed Callahan, Edward McLarney, Kaye Masco, Glenda Kimmel, Saundra Willing, Robert Leick, the person of the County of Skamania and John and/or Jane Does 1-25 who may join in the conspiracy to convert my property by "purchasing" it in a "Foreclosure Sale". I will sue all officials in their individual capacity only clothed in authority and those actors who participate in any sale for tresspass of my "priceless" inalienable and unalienable rights. The suit may take 3 to 5 years to complete and will cost the various actors and the County of Skamania somewhere between 250,000 and 1,000,000 just to defend themselves, plus damages. Let it NOW be known that all "owners", whether singular or multiple will be also be sued even if they only buy and sell to another. Also let it be known that if Truman Price, Inc. attempts to use this foreclosure as a method to obtain easement and water rights they too will be sued. It will be conducted in the United States District Court in Tacoma under 28 USC 1350.

Special Notice is given to Robert Leick in his individual capacity that his "immunity" as Prosecuting Attorney does not extend to giving "advice" to other officials to violate my inalienable and unalienable rights. (See Burns v Reed, May 30, 1991, SCt 89-1715). If you don't believe that this will happen call your friend Dave Frohnmayer and ask him.

Notice is also given to Saundra Willing who falsely certified to facts not in evidence, i.e. that I was a "firm, company, organization, or corporation" (RCW 84.04.075), and who did not come forth at the appointed time and place, the Superior Court of the County of Skamania, on the 31st of October, 1991, at 10:30 AM to present the agreement signed by me and collect full payment upon issuing a receipt marked "Paid in Full", pursuant to RCW 62A.3-505(1). Having failed to do that invokes RCW 62A.3-505(2) which says that if she fails to comply with the above terms her resentments are invalidated by her actions (or lack thereof).

If the County truly wanted to get their presentment of taxes "paid" why weren't they there to collect? Could it be that their collection methods are Fraudulent?

Dated November 15, 1991

All Rights Explicitly Reserved,
Without Prejudice.

By Fredric Nickel

Fredric R Nickel

Nov 15 3 47 PM '91
P. Henry

Fredric R. Nickel, Sui Juris
c/o POB 300
Stevenson, Washington

Registered
Indexed
Filed 11/22/91
Mailed